arXiv:1406.0282v1 [math.FA] 2 Jun 2014

A CHARACTERIZATION OF MOMENT SEQUENCES BY EXTENSIONS

KONRAD SCHMÜDGEN

Abstract. The fibre theorem [7] for the moment problem on closed semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is generalized to finitely generated real unital algebras. A charaterization of moment functionals on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ in terms of extensions is given. These results are applied to reprove basic results on the complex moment problem due to Stochel and Szafraniec [8] and Bisgaard [1].

AMS Subject Classification (2000). 44A60, 14P10.

Key words: moment problem, real algebraic geometry

1. Introduction

The present paper deals with the classical moment problem on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A useful result on the existence of solutions is the fibre theorem [7] for closed semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The proof given in [7] was based on the decomposition theory of states on $*$-algebras. An elementary proof has been found by T. Netzer [3], see also M. Marshall [2, Chapter 4].

In this paper we first generalize the fibre theorem from the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ to arbitary finitely generated unital real algebras (Theorem 3). This general version is the starting point for a number of applications. The main result of the paper is an extension theorem (Theorem 7) that provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a linear functional on $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ being a moment functional. In the case $d=2$ this result leads to a basic theorem of J. Stochel and F. H. Szafraniec [8] on the complex moment problem (Theorem 8). Another application of the generalized fibre theorem is a short proof of a theorem of T.M. Bisgaard on the two-sided complex moment problem (Theorem 9).

Let us fix some definitions and notations which are used throughout this paper. A *complex $*$-algebra* $\mathcal{B}$ is a complex algebra equipped with an involution, that is, an antilinear mapping $\mathcal{B}\ni b\to b^*\in\mathcal{B}$ satisfying $(bc)^*=c^*b^*$ and $(b^*)^*=b$ for $b,c\in\mathcal{B}$. Let $\sum\mathcal{B}^2$ denote the set of finite sums $\sum_j b_j^*b_j$ of hermitean squares $b_j^*b_j$, where $b_j\in\mathcal{B}$. A linear functional L on $\mathcal{B}$ is called *positive* if L is nonnegative on $\sum\mathcal{B}^2$, that is, if $L(b^*b)\geq 0$ for all $b\in\mathcal{B}$.

A *$*$-semigroup* is a semigroup S with a mapping $s^*\to s$ of S into itself, called involution such that $(st)^*=t^*s^*$ and $(s^*)^*=s$ for $s,t\in S$. The *semigroup $*$-algebra* $\mathbb{C}S$ of S is the complex $*$-algebra is the vector space of all finite sums $\sum_{s\in S}\alpha_s s$, where $\alpha_s\in\mathbb{C}$, with product and involution

$$\big(\sum\nolimits_s \alpha_s s\big)\big(\sum\nolimits_t \beta_t t\big):=\sum\nolimits_{s,t}\alpha_s\beta_t st,\quad \big(\sum\nolimits_s \alpha_s s\big)^*:=\sum\nolimits_s \overline{\alpha}_s\, s^*.$$

The polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ is abbreviated by $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$.

2. A generalization of the fibre theorem

Throughout this section $\mathcal{A}$ is a *finitely generated commutative real unital algebra*. By a character of $\mathcal{A}$ we mean an algebra homomorphism $\chi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\chi(1) = 1$. We equipp the set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of characters of $\mathcal{A}$ with the weak topology.

Let us fix a set $\{f_1,\dots,f_d\}$ of generators of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Then there is a algebra homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi(x_j) = f_j$, $j = 1,\dots,d$. If $\mathcal{J}$ denotes the kernel of π, then $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$. Further, each character χ is completely determined by the point $x_\chi := (\chi(f_1),\dots,\chi(f_d))$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$. For simplicity we will identifiy χ with x_χ and write $f(x_\chi) := \chi(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ becomes a real algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In the special case $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_1,\dots,x_d]$ we can take $f_1 = x_1,\dots,f_d = x_d$ and obtain $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 1. *A* preorder *of $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{T}\cdot\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T},\quad \mathcal{T}+\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T},\quad 1 \in \mathcal{T},\quad a^2\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T} \ \text{ for all } \ a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ denote the set of finite sums $\sum_i a_i^2$ of squares of elements $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ is invariant under multiplication and hence the smallest preorder of $\mathcal{A}$.

For a preorder $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we define

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) = \{x \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} : f(x) \geq 0 \ \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

The main concepts are introduced in the following definition, see [7] or [2].

Definition 2. *A preorder $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ has the*

• moment property (MP) *if each $\mathcal{T}$-positive linear functional L on $\mathcal{A}$ is a moment functional, that is, there exists a positive Borel measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$ such that*

$$L(f) = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} f(x)\, d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{A}, \tag{1}$$

• strong moment property (SMP) *if each $\mathcal{T}$-positive linear functional L on $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$–moment functional, that is, there is a positive Borel measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{A}})$ such that* $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ *and (1) holds.*

To state our main result (Theorem 3) we need some preparations.

Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ a finitely generated preorder of $\mathcal{A}$ and let $\mathsf{f} = \{f_1,\dots,f_k\}$ be a sequence of generators of $\mathcal{T}$. We consider a fixed m-tuple $\mathsf{h} = (h_1,\dots,h_m)$ of elements $h_k \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\overline{\mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))}$ denote the closure of the subset $\mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$ is defined by

$$\mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})) = \{(h_1(x),\dots,h_m(x)); x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})\}.$$

For $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda$ the semi-algebraic set

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda = \{x \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) : h_1(x) = \lambda_1,\dots,h_m(x) = \lambda_m\}$$

and by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ the preorder generated by the sequence

$$\mathsf{f}(\lambda) := \{f_1,\dots,f_k, h_1-\lambda_1, \lambda_1-h_1,\dots,h_m-\lambda_m,\lambda_m-h_m\}$$

Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$ is the disjoint union of fibre set $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})_\lambda = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_\lambda)$, where $\lambda \in \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ be the ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $h_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, h_m - \lambda_m$. Then we have $\mathcal{T}_\lambda := \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}_\lambda$ and the preorder $\mathcal{T}_\lambda/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is generated by

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathsf{f}) := \{\pi_\lambda(f_1), \ldots, \pi_\lambda(f_k)\},$$

where $\pi_\lambda : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ denotes the canonical map.

Further, let $\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda := \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda))$ denote the ideal of all elements $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which vanish on the zero set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}_\lambda) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda)$. Set $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda := \mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ is a preorder of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$.

Note that in general we have $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \neq \hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ and equality holds if and only if the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is *real*. The latter means that $\sum_j a_j^2 \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for finitely many elements $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$ always implies that $a_j \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for all j.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finitely generated commutative real unital algebra and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a finitely generated preorder of $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ are elements of $\mathcal{A}$ that are bounded on the set $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$. Then the following are equivalent:*
(i) $\mathcal{T}$ has property (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$.
(ii)$'$ $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$ satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$.
(iii) $\mathcal{T}_\lambda/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$.
(iii)$'$ $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}/\hat{\mathcal{I}}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$.

Proposition 4(i) gives the implication (i)→(ii), Proposition 4(ii) yields the equivalences (ii)↔(iii) and (ii)$'$ ↔(iii)$'$, and Proposition 4(iii) implies equivalence (ii)↔(ii)$'$.

The main assertion of Theorem 3 is the implication (ii)→(i). Its proof is lenghty and technically involved. In the proof given below we reduce the general case to the case $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$.

Proposition 4. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal and $\mathcal{T}$ a finitely generated preorder of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which vanish on the zero set $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})$ of $\mathcal{I}$.*
(i) If $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$, so does $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$ satisfies (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})/\mathcal{I}$ does in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$ obeys (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ does.

Proof. (i): Seee e.g. [6], Proposition 4.6.

(ii) See e.g. [6], Lemma 4.5.

(iii): It suffices to show that both preorders $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ have the same positive characters and the same positive linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$. For the sets of characters, using the equality $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}})$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T} + \hat{\mathcal{I}}).$$

Since $\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{T}+\hat{\mathcal{I}}$, a $(\mathcal{T}+\hat{\mathcal{I}})$-positive functional is trivially $(\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{I})$-positive.

Conversely, let L be a $(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{I})$-positive linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$ and let $a \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}$. Set $a' := \pi^{-1}(a)$. Recall that $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$ as noted above. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}' := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{I})$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ and we have $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}') = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{J}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I})$. Hence, since $\pi(a) = a'$, the polynomial $a' \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}')$. Therefore,

by the real Nullstellensatz [2, Theorem 2.2.1, (3)], there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \sum \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]^2$ such that $c' := (a')^{2m} + b \in \mathcal{I}'$. Upon multiplying c' by some even power of a' we can assume that $2m = 2^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$c := \pi(c') = a^{2^k} + \pi(b) \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{where} \quad \pi(b) \in \sum \mathcal{A}^2. \tag{2}$$

Being $(\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{I})$-positive, L annihilates $\mathcal{I}$ and is nonnegative on $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$. Therefore, by (2),

$$0 = L(c) = L\big(a^{2^k}\big) + L(\pi(b)), \quad L(\pi(b)) \geq 0, \quad L\big(a^{2^k}\big) \geq 0.$$

Hence $L(a^{2^k}) = 0$. Since L is nonnegative on $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds. By a repeated application of this inequality we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |L(a)|^{2^k} &\leq L(a^2)^{2^{k-1}} L(1)^{2^{k-1}} \leq L(a^4)^{2^{k-2}} L(1)^{2^{k-2}+2^{k-1}} \leq \dots \\ &\leq L(a^{2^k}) L(1)^{1+\dots+2^{k-1}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L(a) = 0$. That is, L annihilates $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$. Hence L is $(\mathcal{T}+\hat{\mathcal{I}})$-positive which completes the proof of (iii): □

Proof of the implication (ii)→(i) of Theorem 3:
As noted at the beginning of this section there is an algebra homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}] \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi(x_j) = f_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is the kernel of π. We choose polynomials $\tilde{h}_j \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ such that $\pi(\tilde{h}_j) = h_j$. Clearly, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ is a preorder of $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T})$. Hence each polynomial $\tilde{h}_j$ is bounded on $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ and $\tilde{\mathsf{h}}(\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})) = \mathsf{h}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}))$. Further, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda := \tilde{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathcal{T}_\lambda + \mathcal{J}$ is also a preorder of $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$. By Proposition 4(ii), $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$ resp. $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ has (SMP) (resp. (MP)) in $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ if and only $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ resp. $\mathcal{T}$ does in $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]/\mathcal{J}$. Therefore, the assertion (ii)→(i) of Theorem 3 follows from the corresponding result for the preorders $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\lambda$ of the algebra $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ proved in [7]. □

Theorem 3 is formulated for a commutative unital *real* algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In Sections 4 and 5 we are concerned with commutative *complex* semigroup $*$-algebras. This case can be easily reduced to Theorem 3 as we discuss in what follows.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is a commutative complex $*$-algebra, its hermitean part

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}_h := \{b \in \mathcal{B} : b = b^*\}$$

is a commutative real algebra.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative real algebra. Then its complexification $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A}+i\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative complex $*$-algebra with involution $(a_1 + ia_2)^* := a_1 - ia_2$ and scalar multiplication

$$(\alpha + i\beta)(a_1 + ia_2) := \alpha a_1 - \beta a_2 + i(\alpha a_2 + \beta a_1), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A},$$

and $\mathcal{A}$ is the hermitean part $\mathcal{B}_h$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then we have $b = a_1 + ia_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and since $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, we get

$$b^*b = (a_1 - ia_2)(a_1 + ia_2) = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + i(a_1a_2 - a_2a_1) = a_1^2 + a_2^2. \tag{3}$$

Hence, if $\mathcal{T}$ is a preorder of $\mathcal{A}$, then $b^*b\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, $\sum \mathcal{B}^2 = \sum \mathcal{A}^2$.

Further, each $\mathbb{R}$-linear functional L on $\mathcal{A}$ has a unique extension $\tilde{L}$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functional on $\mathcal{B}$. By (3), L is nonnegative on $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ if and only of $\tilde{L}$ is nonnegative on $\sum \mathcal{B}^2$, that is, $\tilde{L}$ is a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{B}$.

3. An extension theorem

In this section we derive a theorem which characterizes moment functional on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in terms of extensions.

Throughout let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the real algebra of functions on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^\times := \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$ generated by the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ and the functions

$$f_{kl}(x) := x_k x_l (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2)^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad k,l = 1,\ldots,d,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}. \tag{4}$$

Clearly, these functions satisfy the identity

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^{d} f_{kl}(x)^2 = 1. \tag{5}$$

That is, the functions f_{kl}, $k,l = 1,\ldots,d$, generate the coordinate algebra $C(S^d)$ of the unit sphere S^d in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The next lemma describes the character set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 5. *The set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is parametrized by the disjoint union of $\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$ and S^d. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$ the character χ_x is the evaluation of functions at x and for $t \in S^d$ the character χ^t acts by $\chi^t(x_j) = 0$ and $\chi^t(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(t)$, where $j,k,l = 1,\ldots,d$.*

Proof. It is obvious that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$ the point evaluation χ_x at x is a character on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $(\chi(x_1),\ldots,\chi(x_d)) \neq 0$.

Conversely, let χ be a character of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $x := (\chi(x_1),\ldots,\chi(x_d)) \neq 0$. Then the identity $(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2) f_{kl} = x_k x_l$ implies that

$$(\chi(x_1)^2 + \cdots + \chi(x_d)^2)\chi(f_{kl}) = \chi(x_k)\chi(x_l)$$

and therefore

$$\chi(f_{kl}) = (\chi(x_1)^2 + \cdots + \chi(x_d)^2)^{-1}\chi(x_k)\chi(x_l) = f_{kl}(x).$$

Thus χ acts on the generators x_j and f_{kl}, hence on the whole algebra $\mathcal{A}$, by point evaluation at x, that is, we have $\chi = \chi_x$.

Next let us note that the quotient of $\mathcal{A}$ by the ideal generated by $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ is (isomorphic to) the algebra $C(S^d)$. Therefore, if χ is a chacacter of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $(\chi(x_1),\ldots,\chi(x_d)) = 0$, then it gives a character on the algebra $C(S^d)$. Clearly, each character of $C(S^d)$ comes from a point of S^d. Conversely, each point $t \in S^d$ defines a unique character of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\chi^t(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(t)$ and $\chi^t(x_j) = 0$ for all k,l,j. □

Theorem 6. *The preorder $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies (MP), that is, for each positive linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ there exist positive Borel measures ν_0 on S^d and $\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\})$ such that for all polynomials g we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(g(x, f_{11}(x),\ldots,f_{dd}(x))) = \int_{S^d} g(0, f_{11}(t),\ldots,f_{dd}(t))\, d\nu_0(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}} g(x, f_{11}(x),\ldots,f_{dd}(x))\ d\nu_1(x). \tag{6}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ has (MP). The assertions follow then from the definition of the property (MP) and the explicit form of the character set given in Lemma 5.

From the description of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ it is obvious that the functions f_{kl}, $k,l = 1,\dots,d$, are bounded on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, so we can take them as polynomials h_j in Theorem 3. Consider a non-empty fibers for $\lambda = (\lambda_{kl})$, where $\lambda_{kl} \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $\chi(f_{kl}) = \lambda_{kl}$ for all k,l. If $\chi = \chi^t$, then $\chi(x_j) = 0$ for all j and hence $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathbb{C}\cdot 1$ has trivially (MP). Now suppose that $\chi = \chi_x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}$. Then $\chi_x(f_{kl}) = f_{kl}(x) = \lambda_{kl}$. Since $1 = \sum_k f_{kk}(x) = \sum_k \lambda_{kk}$, there is a k such that $\lambda_{kk} \neq 0$. From the equality $\lambda_{kk} = f_{kk}(x) = x_k^2(x_1^2+\cdots+x_d^2)^{-1}$ it follows that $x_k \neq 0$. Hence $\frac{\lambda_{kl}}{\lambda_{kk}} = \frac{f_{kl}(x)}{f_{kk}(x)} = \frac{x_l}{x_k}$, so that $x_l = \frac{\lambda_{kl}}{\lambda_{kk}} x_k$ for all $l = 1\dots,d$. This implies that the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by the fiber ideal $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is an algebra of polynomials in the songle variable x_k. Therefore, the preorder $\sum(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda)^2$ satisfies (MP). Hence $\mathcal{A}$ itself obeys (MP) by Theorem 3. □

The main result of this section is the following extension theorem.

Theorem 7. *A linear functional L on $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ is a moment functional if and only if it has an extension to a positive linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on the larger algebra $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Assume first that L has an extension to a positive linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}$. By Theorem 6, the functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form described by equation (6). We define a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\mu(\{0\}) = \nu_0(S^d), \quad \mu(M\backslash\{0\}) = \nu_1(M\backslash\{0\}).$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$. Setting $g(x,0,\dots,0) = p(x)$ in (6), we get

$$L(p) = \mathcal{L}(p) = \nu_0(\{0\})p(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}} g(x,0,\dots,0)\, d\nu_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(x)\, d\mu(x).$$

Thus L is moment functional on $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ with representing measure μ.

Conversely, suppose that L is a moment functional on $\mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ and let μ be a representing measure. Since $f_{kl}(t,0,\dots,0) = \delta_{k1}\delta_{l1}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$, we have $\lim_{t\to 0} f_{kl}(t,0,\dots,0) = \delta_{k1}\delta_{l1}$. Hence there is a well-defined character on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\chi(f) = \lim_{t\to 0} f(t,0,\dots,0), \quad f \in \mathcal{A},$$

and $\chi(p) = p(0)$ for $p \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{x}]$. Then, for $f \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$, we have

$$L(f) = \mu(\{0\})\chi(f) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\backslash\{0\}} f(x)\, d\mu(x). \tag{7}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we define $\mathcal{L}(f)$ by the right-hand side of (7). Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$ which extends L. □

Remarks.

1. Another type of extension theorems has been derived in [5]. The main difference to the above theorem is that in [5], see e.g. Theorem 2.5, a function

$$h(x) := (1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 + p_1(x)^2 + \cdots + p_k(x)^2)^{-1}$$

is added to the algebra, where $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}_d[\underline{x}]$ are fixed. Since $h(x)$ is bounded on the character set and non-empty fibres consist of single points, the existence assertions of these results follow immediately from Theorem 3. In this case the representing measure of the extended functional is unique (see [5, Theorem 2.5].

2. The measure ν_1 in Theorem 6 and hence the representing measure μ for the functional $\mathcal{L}$ in Theorem 7 are not uniquely determined by $\mathcal{L}$. (A counter-example is easily constructed by taking some appropriate measure supported by a coordinate axis.) Let μ_{rad} denote the measure on $[0, +\infty)$ obtained by transporting μ by the mapping $x \to \|x\|^2$. Then, as shown in [4, p. 2964, Nr 2.], if μ_{rad} is determinate on $[0, +\infty)$, then μ is is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{L}$.

4. Application to the complex moment problem

Given a complex 2-sequence $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}$ the complex moment problem asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}$ such that the function $z^m\overline{z}^n$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is μ-integrable and

$$s_{mn} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^m\overline{z}^n \, d\mu(z) \quad \text{for all} \quad (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2. \tag{8}$$

The semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{N}_0^2$ of the $*$-semigroup $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ with involution $(m,n) := (n,m)$, $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, is the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]$ with involution given by $z^* = \overline{z}$. If L denotes the linear functional on $\mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]$ defined by

$$L(z^m\overline{z}^n) = s_{m,n}, \quad (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$$

then (8) means that

$$L_s(p) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(z,\overline{z}) \, d\mu(z), \quad p \in \mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}].$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ is a subsemigroup of the larger $*$-semigroup

$$\mathcal{N}_+ = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : m+n \geq 0\} \quad \text{with involution} \quad (m,n)^* = (n,m).$$

The following fundamental theorem was proved by J. Stochel and F.H. Szafraniec [8].

Theorem 8. *A linear functional L on $\mathbb{C}[z,\overline{z}]$ is a moment functional if and only if L has an extension to a positive linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$.*

In [8] this theorem was stated in terms of semigroups:

A complex sequence $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}$ is a moment sequence on $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ if and only if there exists a positive semidefinite sequence $\tilde{s} = (\tilde{s}_{m,n})_{(m,n)\in\mathcal{N}_+}$ on the $*$-semigroup $\mathcal{N}_+$ such that $\tilde{s}_{m,n} = s_{m,n}$ for all $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$.

In order to prove Theorem 8 we first describe the semigroup $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$. Clearly, $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ is the complex $*$-algebra generated by the functions $z^m\overline{z}^n$ on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, where $m,n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m+n \geq 0$. If $r(z)$ denotes the modulus and $u(z)$ the phase of z, then $z^m\overline{z}^n = r(z)^{m+n}u(z)^{m-n}$. Setting $k = m+n$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+ = \mathrm{Lin}\{\mathrm{r(z)^k u(z)^{2m-k}}; \mathrm{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathrm{m} \in \mathbb{Z}\}\,.$$

The functions $r(z)$ und $u(z)$ itself are not in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$, but $r(z)u(z) = z$ and $v(z) := u(z)^2 = z\overline{z}^{-1}$ are in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ and they generate the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$. Writing $z = x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$1 + v(z) = 1 + \frac{x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2}{x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2} = 2\,\frac{x_1^2 + \mathrm{i}\,x_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \quad 1 - v(z) = 2\,\frac{x_2^2 - \mathrm{i}\,x_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,.$$

This implies that the complex algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ is generated by the five functions

$$x_1, \quad x_2, \quad \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,, \quad \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,, \quad \frac{x_1x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,. \tag{9}$$

Obviously, the hermitean part $(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+)_h$ of the complex $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}_+$ is just the *real* algebra generated by the functions (9). This real algebra is the special case $d = 2$ of the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ treated in Section 3. Therefore, if we identify $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{R}^2$, the assertion of Theorem 8 follows at once from Theorem 7.

5. Application to the two-sided complex moment problem

The two-sided complex moment problem is the moment problem for the $*$-semigroup $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with involution $(m, n) := (n, m)$. Given a sequence $s = (s_{m,n})_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ it asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{C}^\times := \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that the function $z^m\overline{z}^n$ on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is μ-integrable and

$$s_{mn} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} z^m\overline{z}^n\, d\mu(z) \quad \text{for all} \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Note that this requires conditions for the measure μ at infinity and at zero.

The following basic result was obtained by T.M. Bisgaard [1].

Theorem 9. *A linear functional L on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a moment functional if and only if L is a positive functional, that is, $L(f^*f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$.*

In terms of $*$-semigroups the main assertion of this theorem says that *each positive semidefinite sequence on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a moment sequence on $\mathbb{Z}^2$*. This result is somewhat surprising, since $C^\times$ has dimension 2 and no additional condition (such as strong positivity or some appropriate extension) is required.

First we reformulate the semigroup $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$. Clearly, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by the functions $z, \overline{z}, z^{-1}, \overline{z}^{-1}$ on the complex plane, that is, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is the $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[z, \overline{z}, z^{-1}, \overline{z}^{-1}]$ of complex Laurent polynomials in z and $\overline{z}$. A vector space basis of $\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{C}$ is the set $\{z^k\overline{z}^l; k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Writing $z = x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$z^{-1} = \frac{x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{z}^{-1} = \frac{x_1 + \mathrm{i}x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is the complex unital algebra generated by the four functions

$$x_1, \quad x_2, \quad y_1 := \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\,, \quad y_2 := \frac{x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \tag{10}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$. Note that

$$(y_1 + \mathrm{i}y_2)(x_1 - \mathrm{i}x_2) = 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof of Theorem 9:
As above we identify $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2$ in the obvious way. As discussed at the end of Section 2, the hermitean part of the complex $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a real algebra $\mathcal{A}$. First we determine the character set $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Obviously, the point evaluation at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ defines uniquely a character χ_x of $\mathcal{A}$. From (11) it follows at once that there is no character χ on $\mathcal{A}$ for which $\chi(x_1) = \chi(x_2) = 0$. Thus,

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\chi_x; x \in \mathbb{R}^2, x \neq 0\}.$$

The three functions

$$h_1(x) = x_1y_1 = \frac{x_1^2}{x_1^2+x_2^2}\,,\ h_2(x) = x_2y_2 = \frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2+x_2^2}\,,\ h_3(x) = 2x_1y_2 = \frac{x_1x_2}{x_1^2+x_2^2}$$

are elements of $\mathcal{A}$ and bounded on $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$. Therefore, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6 it follows that the preorders $\sum(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda)^2$ for all fibers satisfy (MP) and so does $\sum \mathcal{A}^2$ by Theorem 3. Since $\hat{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\} = \mathbb{C}^\times$, this gives the assertion. □

Remark. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the four functions x_1, x_2, y, y_2 on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is an interesting structure: The generators satisfy the relations

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1^2+x_2^2)(y_1^2+y_2^2) = 1$$

and there is a $*$-automorphism Φ of the real algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (and hence of the complex $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}^2$) given by $\Phi(x_j) = y_j$ and $\Phi(y_j) = x_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Tim Netzer for valuable discussions on the subject of this paper.

References

[1] Bisgaard, T.M., The two-sided complex moment problem, Ark. Mat. **27**(1989), 23–28.
[2] Marshall, M., *Positive polynomials and sums of squares*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **146**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
[3] Netzer, T., An elementary proof of Schmüdgen's theorem on the moment problem of closed semi-algebraic sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**(2008), 529–537.
[4] Putinar, N. and Schmüdgen, K., Multivariate determinateness, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57**(2008), 2931–2968.
[5] Putinar, N. and Vasilescu, F.-H., Solving the moment problem by dimension extension, Ann. Math. **149**(1999), 1087–1069.
[6] Scheiderer, C., Non-existence of degree bounds for weighted sms of squares representations, J. Complexity **2**(2005), 823–844.
[7] Schmüdgen, K., On the moment problem of closed semi-algebraic sets, J. Reine Angew. Math. **558**(2003), 225–234.
[8] Stochel, J. and Szafraniec, F.H., The complex moment problem and subnormality: a polar decomposition approach. J. Funct. Anal. **159**(1998), 432–491.

Universität Leipzig, Mathematisches Institut, Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany
E-mail address: schmuedgen@math.uni-leipzig.de